

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 \* \* \*

4 MY-SON MCNAIR,

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 CHARLES DANIELS, *et al.*,

8 Defendants.

Case No. 3:23-CV-00151-ART-CLB

**ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND  
DENYING IN PART MOTION TO EXTEND  
TIME**

[ECF No. 34]

9 Before the Court is Plaintiff My-Son McNair's ("McNair") motion for a 45-day  
10 extension of time to file a response to Defendants' motion for summary judgment. (ECF  
11 No. 34.) McNair asserts that an extension of time is necessary because he has limited  
12 access to the law library due to his work schedule and the law library is not open on the  
13 weekend. (*Id.*)

14 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1)(A) the court may, for good  
15 cause, extend time if a request is made before the original time expires. The good cause  
16 inquiry focuses primarily on the movant's diligence. *DRK Photo v. McGraw-Hill Global*  
17 *Educ. Holdings, LLC*, 870 F.3d 978, 989 (9th Cir. 2017).

18 Having reviewed the motion, the Court finds that good cause exists to warrant  
19 granting an extension but finds that a 45-day extension is not warranted and instead will  
20 grant a 30-day extension. Accordingly, the motion, (ECF No. 34), is **granted in part and**  
21 **denied in part**. McNair shall file his response to Defendants' motion for summary  
22 judgment by no later than **Monday, February 3, 2025**.

23 **DATED:** January 2, 2025

24   
25  
26 **UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**  
27  
28